Rubric for Peer Review of Presentation

 (suggestions from ChatGPT)

| Criteria | Excellent (4pts) | Good (3pts) | Satisfactory (2pts) | Needs work (1pt) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Content | All areas were thoroughly covered. | All areas were covered. | The areas were somewhat covered, some parts were missing. | Many areas were missing. |
| Organization | The presentation was well organized with a clear introduction, body, and conclusion. Transitions were used between points and were smooth. | The presentation was organized, with a clear introduction, body, and conclusion. The transitions were generally smooth.  | The presentation was somewhat organized, but there were lapses in the structure. Transitions were inconsistent. | The presentation lacked organization making it difficult for the audience to follow. Transitions were unclear or missing. |
| Delivery | The presenters spoke clearly, maintained good eye contact and engaged the audience. They used appropriate tone and body language. | The presenters spoke clearly, maintained eye contact and engaged the audience. Some improvement in tone and body language may be needed. | The presenters spoke with difficulty, limited eye contact, and minimal audience engagement. Tone and body language need improvement. | The presenters had significant difficulty speaking, lacked eye contact and did not engage the audience. Tone and body language need improvement. |
| The slides | The slides were clear, relevant and enhanced the presentation. They effectively supported the key points. | The slides were mostly clear, relevant and contributed to the presentation. Some improvement may be needed in supporting key points. | The slides were somewhat unclear or irrelevant and provided limited support for the key points. Significant improvement is needed. | The slides were unclear, irrelevant or absent, hindering the understanding of key points. |
| Overall | The presentation was engaging, informative and demonstrated great understanding of the subject. | The presentation was generally engaging and informative and showed a good understanding of the subject. | The presentation was somewhat engaging and informative indicating a basic understanding of the subject | The presentation lacked engagement and depth, and demonstrated a limited understanding of the subject. |